Lading or Prepaid Postage, or FAR 52.242-11, F.o.b. Origin—Government Bills of Lading or Indicia Mail.

[62 FR 34127, June 24, 1997]

## 242.1405 Discrepancies incident to shipment of supplies.

(a) See also DoD 4500.9-R, Defense Transportation Regulation, Part II, Chapter 210, for discrepancy procedures.

[65 FR 50144, Aug. 17, 2000]

### 242.1470 Demurrage and detention charges.

- (a) Carrier demurrage rules usually allow for a "free time" for loading or unloading cars or for any other purpose, and impose charges for cars held beyond this period. If a contractor detains railroad cars beyond the "free time," the contractor has to pay the carrier's published tariff charges for demurrage.
- (b) Detention results when a shipper or consignee holds motor carrier equipment beyond a reasonable period for loading, unloading, forwarding directions, or any other reason. Detention rules and charges are not uniform; they are published in individual carrier or agency tenders.

[56 FR 36437, July 31, 1991, as amended at 65 FR 50144, Aug. 17, 2000]

#### Subpart 242.70 [Reserved]

## Subpart 242.71—Voluntary Refunds

#### 242.7100 General.

A voluntary refund is a payment or credit (adjustment under one or more contracts or subcontracts) to the Government from a contractor or subcontractor that is not required by any contractual or other legal obligation. Follow the procedures at PGI 242.7100 for voluntary refunds.

[70 FR 67920, Nov. 9, 2005]

# Subpart 242.72—Contractor Material Management and Accounting System

SOURCE: 65 FR 77833, Dec. 13, 2000, unless otherwise noted.

#### 242.7200 Scope of subpart.

- (a) This subpart provides policies, procedures, and standards for use in the evaluation of a contractor's material management and accounting system (MMAS).
- (b) The policies, procedures, and standards in this subpart—
- (1) Apply only when the contractor has contracts exceeding the simplified acquisition threshold that are not for the acquisition of commercial items and are either—
- (i) Cost-reimbursement contracts; or
- (ii) Fixed-price contracts with progress payments made on the basis of costs incurred by the contractor as work progresses under the contract; and
- (2) Do not apply to small businesses, educational institutions, or nonprofit organizations.

#### 242.7201 Definitions.

Material management and accounting system and valid time-phased requirements are defined in the clause at 252.242-7004, Material Management and Accounting System.

#### 242.7202 Policy.

DoD policy is for its contractors to have an MMAS that conforms to the standards in paragraph (e) of the clause at 252.242-7004, so that the system—

- (a) Reasonably forecasts material requirements;
- (b) Ensures the costs of purchased and fabricated material charged or allocated to a contract are based on valid time-phased requirements; and
- (c) Maintains a consistent, equitable, and unbiased logic for costing of material transactions.

#### 242.7203 Review procedures.

- (a) Criteria for conducting reviews. Conduct an MMAS review when—
- (1) A contractor has \$40 million of qualifying sales to the Government

#### 242.7203

- (2) The administrative contracting officer (ACO), with advice from the auditor, determines an MMAS review is needed based on a risk assessment of the contractor's past experience and current vulnerability.
- (b) Qualifying sales. Qualifying sales are sales for which cost or pricing data were required under 10 U.S.C. 2306a, as implemented in FAR 15.403, or that are contracts priced on other than a firm-fixed-price or fixed-price with economic price adjustment basis. Sales include prime contracts, subcontracts, and modifications to such contracts and subcontracts.
- (c) System evaluation. Cognizant contract administration and audit activities must jointly establish and manage programs for evaluating the MMAS systems of contractors and must annually establish a schedule of contractors to be reviewed. In addition, they must
  - (1) Conduct reviews as a team effort.
  - (i) the ACO—
  - (A) Appoints a team leader; and
- (B) Ensures that the team includes appropriate functional specialists (e.g., industrial specialist, engineer, property administrator, auditor).
  - (ii) The team leader-
- (A) Advises the ACO and the contractor of findings during the review and at the exit conference; and
- (B) Makes every effort to resolve differences regarding questions of fact during the review.
  - (iii) The contract auditor-
- (A) Participates as a member of the MMAS team or serves as the team leader (see paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section); and
- (B) Issues an audit report for incorporation into the MMAS report based on an analysis of the contractor's books, accounting records, and other related data.
- (2) Tailor reviews to take full advantage of the day-to-day work done by both organizations.
  - (3) Prepare the MMAS report.
- (d) Disposition of evaluation team findings. The team leader must document the evaluation team findings and recommendations in the MMAS report to the ACO. If there are any significant

MMAS deficiencies, the report must provide an estimate of the adverse impact on the Government resulting from those deficiencies.

- (1) *Initial notification to the contractor.* The ACO must provide a copy of the report to the contractor immediately upon receipt from the team leader.
- (i) The ACO must notify the contractor in a timely manner if there are no deficiencies.
- (ii) If there are any deficiencies, the ACO must request the contractor to provide a written response within 30 days (or such other date as may be mutually agreed to by the ACO and the contractor) from the date of initial notification.
- (iii) If the contractor agrees with the report, the contractor has 60 days (or such other date as may be mutually agreed to by the ACO and the contractor) to correct any identified deficiencies or submit a corrective action plan showing milestones and actions to eliminate the deficiencies.
- (iv) If the contractor disagrees with the report, the contractor must provide rationale in the written response.
- (2) Evaluation of the contractor's response. The ACO, in consultation with the auditor, evaluates the contractor's response and determines whether—
- (i) The MMAS contains any deficiencies and, if so, any corrective action is needed;
- (ii) The deficiencies are significant enough to result in the reduction of progress payments or disallowance of costs on vouchers; and
- (iii) Proposed corrective actions (if the contractor submitted them) are adequate to correct the deficiencies.
- (3) Notification of ACO determination.
  (i) The ACO must notify the contractor in writing (copy to auditor and functional specialists) of—
- (A) Any deficiencies and the necessary corrective action;
- (B) Acceptability of the contractor's corrective action plan (if one was submitted) or the need for a corrective action plan; and
- (C) Any decision to reduce progress payments or disallow costs on vouchers.
- (ii) The Government does not approve or disapprove the contractor's MMAS.

ACO notifications should avoid any such implications.

- (iii) From the time the ACO determines that there are any significant MMAS deficiencies until the time the deficiencies are corrected, all field pricing reports for that contractor must contain a recommendation relating to proposed adjustments necessary to protect the Government's interests.
- (iv) The ACO should consider the effect of any significant MMAS deficiencies in reviews of the contractor's estimating system (see 215.407–5).
- (4) Reductions or disallowances. (i) When the ACO determines the MMAS deficiencies have a material impact on Government contract costs, the ACO must reduce progress payments by an appropriate percentage based on affected costs (in accordance with FAR 32.503-6) and/or disallow costs on vouchers (in accordance with FAR 42.803). The reductions or disallowances must remain in effect until the ACO determines that—
  - (A) The deficiencies are corrected; or
- (B) The amount of the impact is immaterial.
- (ii) The maximum payment adjustment is the adverse material impact to the Government as specified in the MMAS report. The ACO should use the maximum adjustment when the contractor did not submit a corrective action plan with its response, or when the plan is unacceptable. In other cases, the ACO should consider the quality of the contractor's corrective action plan in determining the appropriate percentage.
- (iii) As the contractor implements its accepted corrective action plan, the ACO should reinstate a portion of withheld amounts commensurate with the contractor's progress in making corrections. However, the ACO must not fully reinstate withheld amounts until the contractor corrects the deficiencies, or until the impact of the deficiencies become immaterial.
- (5) Monitoring contractor's corrective action. The ACO and the auditor must monitor the contractor's progress in correcting deficiencies. When the ACO determines the deficiencies have been corrected, the ACO must notify the contractor in writing. If the contractor fails to make adequate progress, the

- ACO must take further action. The ACO may—
- (i) Elevate the issue to higher level management;
- (ii) Further reduce progress payments and/or disallow costs on vouchers;
- (iii) Notify the contractor of the inadequacy of the contractor's cost estimating system and/or cost accounting system; and
- (iv) Issue cautions to contracting activities regarding the award of future contracts.

#### 242.7204 Contract clause.

Use the clause at 252.242-7004, Material Management and Accounting System, in all solicitations and contracts exceeding the simplified acquisition threshold that are not for the acquisition of commercial items and—

- (a) Are not awarded to small businesses, educational institutions, or nonprofit organizations; and
  - (b) Are either-
  - (1) Cost-reimbursement contracts; or
- (2) Fixed-price contracts with progress payments made on the basis of costs incurred by the contractor as work progresses under the contract.

# Subpart 242.73—Contractor Insurance/Pension Review

#### 242.7301 General.

- (a) The administrative contracting officer (ACO) is responsible for determining the allowability of insurance/ pension costs in Government contracts and for determining the need for a Contractor/Insurance Pension Review (CIPR). Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA) insurance/pension specialists and Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA) auditors assist ACOs in making these determinations, conduct CIPRs when needed, and perform other routine audits as authorized under FAR 42.705 and 52.215-2. A CIPR is a DCMA/DCAA joint review that
- (1) Provides an in-depth evaluation of a contractor's—
  - (i) Insurance programs;
- (ii) Pension plans;
- (iii) Other deferred compensation plans; and
- (iv) Related policies, procedures, practices, and costs; or